IN THE COUNTY COURT OF THE TWELFTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR SARASOTA COUNTY, FLORIDA

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

Case No.: 2006 CT 9733 NC

JOHN C. FABIAN, et al.,

Defendant.

ORDER OF STAY PENDING APPEAL (AS TO ORDER OF CONTEMPT)

This matter came for consideration on the Motion for Stay Pending Appeal filed on September 21, 2007, by non-party CMI, Inc., d/b/a CMI, Inc. of Kentucky ("CMI"). The Court, having considered the Motion for Stay Pending Appeal, the record in this case and being otherwise advised in the premises, finds as follows.

The request for a stay pending appeal is governed by Fla. R. App. P. 9.310. See also Fla. R. App. P. 9.010 and 9.030(c)). In deciding whether a stay pending appeal is appropriate, courts consider the moving party's likelihood of success on the merits, and the likelihood of harm should a stay not be granted. State ex rel. Price v. McCord, 380 So.2d 1037 (Fla. 1980). The Court finds that CMI has met both of these prongs. Addressing the second prong first, CMI will continue to accrue substantial fines (\$3200 per day) while the appeal is pending. In addition, CMI has no control over the Circuit Court's docket. The first prong is satisfied in that courts of different jurisdictions, including Florida, have issued conflicting opinions as to the inderlying discovery issue and CMI raises a jurisdictional issue as to the contempt order.

The court notes that the moving party need *not* demonstrate a substantial likelihood of success on the merits in order to request a stay, and specifically notes that it does not grant this stay based upon any of the *post-facto* arguments raised by CMI as to the underlying discovery subpoena.

Fla. R. App. P. 9.130(a) provides that "a stay pending review may be conditioned upon the posting of a good and sufficient bond, other conditions, or both." Typically, the amount of the bond is determined by the facts of the particular case in light of the guiding principle that the setting of a supersedeas bond is to protect the party in whose favor judgment was entered by assuring its payment in the event it is affirmed on appeal. <u>Pabian v. Pabian</u>, 467 So. 2d 189 (Fla 4th DCA 1985).

The Court conditions the stay pending appeal upon the posting of a supersedeas bond based on the facts and circumstances in this case. These include the court's previous finding that CMI willfully disobeyed court orders and voluntarily elected not to appear before the Court at the Show Cause Hearing on July 18, 2007 and the substantial impact of CMI's noncompliance on the Court as well as the Defendants' right to material evidence. The bond shall be in the amount of one hundred eight thousand, eight hundred dollars (\$108,800.00). This figure represents the amount of the per-day fine (\$3200) for each day from the date this Court required compliance with the subpoena (August 30, 2007), pursuant to the Order of Civil Contempt, through and until the entry of this Order, or thirty-four (34) days.

Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** and **ADJUDGED** that:

- 1. The Motion for Stay Pending Appeal is **GRANTED CONDITIONED UPON**CMI posting a bond in the amount of \$108,800.00; and
- 2. This Court requests the Circuit Court to expedite the appeal stemming herefrom.

 DONE and ORDERED in chambers in Sarasota County, Florida this ______ day of October, 2007.

² CMI failed to respond in any fashion to this court until after entry of the contempt order. Instead, it corresponded directly with the parties and indicated that it had no intention of appearing before this court.

Honorable Kimberly C. Bonner County Court Judge

cc:

Robert N. Harrison, Esq. and all counsel of record
State Attorney's Office
CMI Inc. of Kentucky, c/o its registered agent, NRAI Services, Inc., 2731 Executive Park Dr.,
Ste. 4, Weston FL 33331
Allen Holbrook, Esq., 100 St., Ann Building, P.O. Box 727 Owensboro, KY 42302-072
Jarrod Malone, Esq., Counsel for CMI

John Fabian, ET. Al.	06 CT 9733 NC
Kimberlyn Geary	06 CT 10394 NC
Kyle Steven Kozel	06 CT 16092 NC
Joseph Frizalone	06 CT 18574 NC
Tammy Lynch	06 CT 7860 NC
Joseph Henry	06 CT 14247 NC
Henry Gibson	06 CT 13373 NC
Jay D. Koerner	06 CT 7791 NC
Denise Kircher	06 CT 13753 NC
Leslie Wayne Fisher	06 CT 13062 NC
Robert E. Laubach	06 CT 20434 NC
Lynn Kirsten	06 CT 19382 NC
David Davenport	06 CT 19382 NC Case disposed 10-3-07
Jeremy Allen Horn	06 CT 20427 NC
Ernesto Gonzalez Barrueco	06 CT 20565 NC
David Fracek	06 CT 20644 NC
Michael Gamigna Gramigna 05 CT 9609 NC	
William Loftus Hall Jr.	05 CT 7783 NC
Jonathan Lewis	06 CT 15850 NC
Jennifer Cook	07 CT 3228 NC
Robert Knego	07 CT 3383 NC
Nicholas Graham	07 CT 5356 NC
James Armour	07. CT 21821 NC
Daniel Pierre Lyonnais	07 CT 5358 NC
Carolyn Eagen	07 CT 3535 NC
Lisa Klopfer	07 CT 799 NC
Thomas Hoyt	07 CT 5339 NC
James Lowicz	0 % CT 9715 NC

Jason Chapman, ASA Cliff Ramey, ASA, Supervisor Office State Attorney 2071 Ringling Blvd. Sarasota, FL 34230 And Attorney's for the above listed cases:

Robert Harrison, Esq. 825 S. Tamiami Trail, Suite 2 Venice, FL 34285

Derek Byrd, Esq. 2151 Main St., Ste 201 Sarasota, FL 34237

John Emanuel Napolitano, Esq. 100 Wallace Avenue, Ste 240 Sarasota, FL 34237-6042

John Pangallo, Esq. 2201 Ringling Blvd., Ste 205 Sarasota, FL 34237-6135

Thomas S. Hudson, Esq. 7780 Westmoreland Drive Sarasota, FL 34243-1935

Anthony G. Ryan, Esq. 2071 Main St. Sarasota, FL 34237-6038

Thomas D. Shults, Esq. 50 Central Avenue, 7th Floor Sarasota, FL 34236

Stephen M. Walker, Esq. 1800 2nd Street, Ste 900 Sarasota, FL 34236-5997

William Galarza, Esq. 355 W. Venice Avenue Venice, FL 34285-2004

Henry Lee, Esq. 1834 Main Street Sarasota, Fl 34237

Darren Finebloom, Esq. 100 Wallace Avenue S-130 Sarasota, FL 34237

David Haenel, Esq. 100 Wallace Avenue S-130 Sarasota, FL 34237

Ronald Filipkowski, Esq. 240 N. Washington Blvd., S-312 Sarasota, FL 34236

Jordan Wallach, Esq. 1800 Second Street, Suite 900 Sarasota, FL 34236

The Honorable David L. Denkin

The Honorable Phyllis R. Galen